

At the annual meeting on July 28th of this year the board was asked to conduct a survey regarding recreational vehicle storage. Free storage of recreational vehicles at the north end of the upper lake by the campground is currently allowed from May 1st to October 31st.

The responses below were received by August 26, 2018 at which time the survey was closed. Survey results will be posted on Next Door and the BLE web site soon. The responses were tabulated based on one response per dues paying membership.

# 1. Should BLE continue to provide a storage lot for recreational vehicles at the north end of the upper lake by the campground?

Yes 23 No 19

### 2. What is a maximum number of days storage should be allowed?

Of the responses saying yes to Question 1:

Low 7 Days High 183 Days Average 125 Days

### 3. How many vehicles should be allowed stored at this location?

Of the responses saying yes to Question 1:

Low 2 RVs High 10 RVs Average 7 RVs

## 4. What should the charge per month be to store a recreational vehicle here?

Of the responses saying yes to Question 1:

Low \$0/Mo High \$100/Mo Average \$39/Mo

#### 5. Other Comments:

- 1 Members should only be allowed to camp on a per day charge. I see conflict with too many people storing, plus some campers look unsightly. Additionally some people were allowed to park/camp outside of the dates.
- 2 I find the RV storage detracts from the views of the lake. Perhaps another location in the woods somewhere?
- 3 No living in the "Stored" RVs and no "Stored Party RVs". I think we should open the storage to trailers and boats etc., good source of revenue for the community. Map out the spots. Lottery spots if there is more demand than spots. Clean it up and do it right.
- 4 No RV's. They pull down property values.
- 5 None
- 6 Not very pretty to look at.
- 7 There must have been a good reason for the board to terminate the option to store. So I support their decision. I also feel that the rv owners should store their equipment on their private land.
- When the board is establishing ELHA Rules and Regulations they should always consider before writing/changing them if they will benefit the majority of the membership or if they are being written/changed to benefit a very small percentage of the membership. If we allow members to continue to park/store their RV's at the upper lake campground for 6 straight months out of the year we will eventually have anywhere between 10 to 20 Recreational Vehicles at the campground which will detract from the beauty of our community for the benefit of a few. The two (2) members who suggested this also suggested they would be willing to pay a range of \$10 to \$30. This will NOT add any substantial revenue to the operating budget compared to the eye sore it will create for the other 179 other members. We do NOT want a Recreational Storage Facility at our campground by the upper lake.
- 9 This is a benefit for living here!
- 10 I like to think of this as a benefit of paying dues. Use of the common areas. I guess this benefits mostly fulltime residents who own these vehicles and dont have room for them on their lots.
- 11 Unless it was originally OK
- We don't believe a small number excessively detracts from the view and BLE should charge to bring in a little money.
- 13 It is unsightly to have a RVs stored by the upper lake
- 14 There's no reason to provide room for what will become a junk yard on community property.
- A stored RV is no different in appearance than one used possibly less. Fees should be charged to help upkeep campground, showers, and facilities.
- We are out of state owners that have an RV. Our lot access does not allow use to park it there. It would be very helpful to be able to store the RV near our home. I do not have a clear idea on how many RV's should be allowed in storage, not knowing the exact space they would be in. So my answer on that question would be as many as the space would allow.
- 17 This free amenity and benefit has been permitted since its inception and should continue.

- 18 I have no problem with people using the space but they should be CHARGED a Monthly FEE
- 19 Good luck
- 20 Txs to board on this issue! It ruines our view at the site.
- 21 none
- Due to the location of the RV storage, I am against this. If it were to be in a different area that is hidden by trees and minimal impact to lot owners around the area. I would consider it.
- I would be in favor of managed RV storage if it were a year round option but if only partial year already and RV owners are already making other arrangements for the rest of the year it does not seem much of a burden to leverage those other arrangements on a year round basis and thus eliminate a benefit which appears to be utilized by a very small percentage of owners.
- This is a great benefit to owners who want to enjoy BLE but don't have a home built on their lot. It should be available for 3 months during the summer so the owner doesn't have to take the RV back and forth to a commercial storage lot. This needs to be specifically noted in the Rules and Regulations Member Priviledges section.
- 25 Why should the community provide storage services for a very limited number of lot owners? Should we also store vehicles, appliances, building materials, etc.? It seems like we are either 1. Storing so lot owners don't have to look at RV's on their own lots. or 2. Just offsetting their storage fees.
- 26 There are other options to store RV's outside BLE.
- I believe that people who own these vehicle's should store them on there own property. Or in a storage lot. This is supposed to be a campground for people to enjoy nature not a storage lot.
- 28 Board made the right decision to stop storage.
- 29 no electric should be ran during storage and all parts of the camper should be has if it was in transit- no extensions out.
- I think storage of RVs decrease the value to homes in the community. We should absolutely not store RV vehicles. I also do not think that we should provide campsites to the public. People coninuously use the RV/campsite and do not obey the rules, disrupt the community, let dogs run loose, and fish out waters for a very nominal price. There is no other community in the state of colorado that would allow this type of activity. Personally, I do not want people in our community that do not own or rent a home.
- 31 This should not be allowed.
- 32 For many reasons, personal property should not be stored on common areas.